

GLOW Newsletter #71, Fall 2013 Edited by Marc Richards

Addresses:

GLOW Newsletter

GLOW Bureau

Marc Richards School of English Queen's University Belfast 2 University Square Belfast BT7 1NN United Kingdom Utrecht Institute of Linguistics OTS Utrecht University PO Box 85253 3508 AG Utrecht The Netherlands *Phone* +31 30 253 9163 *Fax* +31 30 253 6406

glow@let.uu.nl

http://www.glow-linguistics.org/

Contents

A Note from the Editor	3
GLOW Renewal Notice	4
The 37 th GLOW Conference 2014 in Brussels:	
Calls for Papers & Submission Guidelines	
Main Colloquium	5
Submission Guidelines	6
Workshop 1: Phonological Specification and Interface Interpretation	7
Workshop 2: Understanding Possession	8
GLOW Spring School 1: Theories in Dialogue	11
Minutes of the GLOW General Assembly 2013 held in Lund	12
Treasurer's Report 2012	17

A Note from the Editor

Dear GLOW Members,

With autumn looming large it's time once again to cast our thoughts ahead to next year's GLOW Conference, to be held in Brussels on April 2-5, 2014. This Fall edition of the newsletter gathers together all the information you need to get your abstracts in on time and in the correct format, as well as the Minutes and Treasurer's Report from this year's meeting in Lund. Most of this information can already be found on the <u>GLOW website</u> and the Brussels conference website for <u>GLOW 37</u>, but hopefully you still find this digest service to be of at least some small value!

The two workshops, one phonological and one semantic, will follow the Main Colloquium next year, on April 5. But things won't be ending there...

An exciting new innovation for next year's Conference will be the first ever GLOW Spring School, which will immediately follow the Conference during the week of April 7-11. The line-up of teachers, topics and courses is already in place (see p.11 below), with each topic being approached by two teachers from different and complementary perspectives, thus bringing *theories into dialogue*. It promises to be an engaging and stimulating event and a very worthwhile addition to the GLOW brand.

As in previous years, all submissions for the Conference are to be made electronically, the <u>EasyChair</u> way. Please also renew your memberships and nominate your preferred candidates for upcoming Board positions in the usual timely fashion (i.e. by the start of next year)!

Marc Richards.

GLOW Renewal Notice

Renewal is for the calendar year 2014, taking effect with the Spring issue of the GLOW Newsletter. Payment should reach us by January 1, 2014, especially if you intend to attend the annual GLOW Colloquium in April. GLOW is continuing to offer four-year student memberships for €30. This is an incredibly good deal, so please encourage eligible people to take advantage of it. We also offer 5- and 10-year memberships at reduced prices.

Membership dues

The current membership dues, as agreed at the Amsterdam General Assembly, are:

Student/Unemployed:	€ 11.50
Student (4 year)	€ 30 (a once-per-lifetime deal)
Regular (1 year)	€ 25
Regular (5 year)	€ 110
Regular (10 year)	€ 200
Regular (life)	€ 400

Modes of Payment:

- By Credit Card (Eurocard/MasterCard/Access/CarteBancaire/Visa);
- By remittance to:

Dutch Postal Account #91.44.68; Bank Account no. 43.97.10.340, ABN-AMRO Bank, Tilburg,NL

Whichever mode of payment you choose, please mail the completed membership form (available from the <u>GLOW website</u>) to the GLOW Bureau at the address in Utrecht (on p.1 above). If you pay by credit card, you can also fax the form to +31 30 253 64 06.

N.B.: If you wish to benefit from the GLOW membership discount for *The Linguistic Review*, and/or for certain books published by Mouton de Gruyter, please follow the instructions on the order form (you now send the order directly to the publisher, Mouton, and not to GLOW).

GLOW XXXVII (2014)

Generative Linguistics in the Old World 37

The 37th GLOW Conference and annual meeting will take place in Brussels (Belgium) from the 2nd to the 11th of April 2014. It will be hosted by CRISSP, a research centre of KU Leuven HU Brussel, and it will consist of the following events:

- (a) the Main Colloquium from Wednesday 2nd April to Friday 4th April 2014;
- (b) a phonology workshop on Saturday 5th April 2014;
- (c) a semantics workshop on Saturday 5th April 2014; and
- (d) the first GLOW Spring School (GSS1) from Monday 7th April to Friday 11th April 2014.

Main Colloquium

April 2–4, 2014

THEME: FREE

Organizers: Dany Jaspers (conference president, CRISSP, Brussels) Marijke De Belder (CRISSP, Brussels) Jeroen van Craenenbroeck (CRISSP, Brussels) Liliane Haegeman (GIST, Ghent University) Marc van Oostendorp (Leiden University & Meertens Institute) Koen Roelandt (CRISSP, Brussels) Tanja Temmerman (CRISSP, Brussels) Guido Vanden Wyngaerd (CRISSP, Brussels)

First call and opening of submissions:	June 15, 2013
Second call:	September 15, 2013
Third call:	November 15, 2013
Deadline for submissions:	December 1, 2013, 23:59 CET
Notification of acceptance:	January 31, 2014

Website for submissions:	https://www.easychair.org/conferences/?conf=glow37	
Conference website:	http://www.glow37.org	
Colloquium website:	http://www.glow37.org/colloquium	

Contact:

glowbrussels@gmail.com

The Main Colloquium of GLOW 37 welcomes abstracts on any topic or subfield of generative linguistics, including (but not limited to) phonology, morphology, syntax, and semantics. Presentations will be 45 minutes long plus 15 minutes of discussion. In addition, GLOW 37 will be hosting a poster session. Authors of abstracts will be asked to indicate on the abstract submission website whether they wish to be considered only for an oral presentation, or also for a poster.

Submission Guidelines

Submission procedure: All abstracts – including abstracts for the two workshops – must be submitted online through *EasyChair*:

https://www.easychair.org/conferences/?conf=glow37

The abstract deadline is **December 1, 2013, 23:59 CET**.

Notifications of acceptance/rejection will be sent out on January 31, 2014.

Format: All abstracts submitted for GLOW 37 – for the Main Colloquium (oral presentations and posters) and workshops alike – should adhere strictly to the following guidelines:

- Abstracts must not exceed two A4 pages in length (including data and references), have one inch (2.5 cm) margins on all sides, be set in Times New Roman with a font size no smaller than 12pt, with single line spacing.
- Examples must be integrated into the text of the abstract, rather than collected at the end.
- Nothing in the abstract, the title, or the name of the document should identify the author(s).
- At most two submissions per author, at most one of which can be singleauthored. The same abstract may not be submitted to both the Main Colloquium and a workshop.
- Only submissions in **pdf** format will be accepted.
- Abstracts are to be submitted via the GLOW37 EasyChair page: <u>https://www.easychair.org/conferences/?conf=glow37</u>.

Important note: Named abstracts and the Spring Newsletter

Abstracts should be anonymous in the first instance. If your paper is accepted for presentation at GLOW 37, you will be required to submit a non-anonymous version of your abstract (with name and affiliation) for publication in the Spring Newsletter. Please therefore make sure that you send the named version of your abstract to the conference organizers as soon as you receive word of your acceptance.

It is particularly important for publication/distribution purposes that all nonstandard (non-open source) fonts in the named version of accepted abstracts be either properly embedded into the pdf file or else avoided altogether.

GLOW 2014 Phonology Workshop April 5

PHONOLOGICAL SPECIFICATION AND INTERFACE INTERPRETATION

Organizers:	Bert Botma (Leiden University) Andrew Nevins (UCL)
Invited speakers:	Marc van Oostendorp (Leiden University & Meertens Institute) Paula Fikkert (Radboud University Nijmegen) John Harris (UCL) Bert Vaux (University of Cambridge)

Deadline for submissions:	December 1, 2013, 23:59 CET	
Website for submissions:	https://www.easychair.org/conferences/?conf=glow37	
Workshop website:	http://www.glow37.org/phonology	

Description of theme: The primitives of phonological theory – whether we call them features, elements, gestures, or some other name – stand in some relation to phonetic reality. Although there is consensus about this, there does not seem to be much agreement about specifics, such as how many primitives there are, whether they are privative or binary, and whether all segments need to be specified for all of them. In this workshop we aim to bring together phonologists working in different traditions to discuss how some of the most pressing issues are to be resolved.

The first issue is the nature of the relationship between phonological primitives and phonetics. As far as we can see, there are roughly three options: one can either assume that the primitives represent elements of articulation (as in most feature theories or in Articulatory Phonology); or elements of acoustics (as in Element Theory). Or is the mainstream view incorrect, in that phonological primitives bear no direct relationship to phonetics at all (as in Substance-Free Phonology)?

The second issue is to what extent the primitives of phonological representation can also be manipulated by modules outside of 'phonology proper', such as 'phonetic implementation' or 'sociolinguistics'. More specifically, does phonetic implementation only add gradient detail to the phonological output representation, or can it also add additional 'phonological' objects?

The third question, related to the previous one, is whether we have to distinguish between different 'levels' of phonological representation, each spelling out more or less detail – in other words, whether there is 'underspecification' at the lower levels of phonology (and perhaps also in the phonetics), how this is determined, and what evidence we have for such underspecification beyond theoretical elegance.

The final question is to what extent the 'primitives' of phonological theory are really atomic, or whether they have some internal structure. There are several types of substructure that come to mind, e.g. binary features crucially distinguish an attribute and a value; but one could also wonder whether the uniform behaviour of e.g. 'Place' features (or 'Colour' elements) in some phonological processes is not really an indication of their sharing some internal structure.

The questions outlined above are fundamental and in many cases quite old, and we would particularly invite abstracts which aim at a principled discussion of these debates in light of recent experimental, computational or theoretical work.

Presentations will be 25 minutes long plus 10 minutes of discussion.

GLOW 2014 Semantics Workshop April 5

UNDERSTANDING POSSESSION

Bert Le Bruyn (Utrecht University) Erik Schoorlemmer (Leiden University) Norbert Corver (Utrecht University)
Lena Karvovskaya (University of Potsdam)
Marjo van Koppen (Utrecht University)
Johan Rooryck (Leiden University)
Jolien Scholten (Utrecht University)
Chris Barker (NYU)
Kilu von Prince (ZAS Berlin)

Deadline for submissions:	December 1, 2013, 23:59 CET	
Website for submissions:	https://www.easychair.org/conferences/?conf=glow37	
Workshop website:	http://www.glow37.org/semantics	

Description of theme:

Introduction

Possessive relations are expressed in the world's languages by a myriad of dedicated grammatical means. In recent years, possession has received notable attention from semanticists as well as (morpho)syntacticians (see Barker 2011 and Börjars & Denison 2013 for recent overviews). Despite these efforts, many important aspects of how possession is encoded in human language remain poorly understood.

The aim of this workshop is to bring semanticists and (morpho)syntacticians together to enhance our understanding of possession.

The expression of possession typically involves a possessee, a possessor and an element that marks the existence of a possessive relation. The semantic and syntactic properties of these three interact with pragmatics as well as with the morphosyntactic and semantic context. At each of these levels important questions arise.

Possessees

With respect to the possessee, Partee (1983/1997), Löbner (1985), De Bruin & Scha (1988), Barker (1995) and many others propose that a distinction must be made between relational and non-relational – or sortal – nouns. Relational nouns semantically function as two-place predicates, while non-relational nouns behave as one-place predicates. This distinction between relational and sortal nouns raises several important questions:

- What is the connection between semantic and syntactic arguments (see e.g. Von Prince 2012)?
- Is a two-place lexical entry the only way to arrive at relational interpretations (see e.g. Partee & Borschev 2003 and Le Bruyn, de Swart & Zwarts 2013)?
- Are some possessive constructions limited either to relational or sortal nouns, as proposed by Barker (1995)?
- Can the relational vs. sortal distinction derive the split between alienable and inalienable possession (see e.g. Vergnaud & Zubizeretta 2003, Chappell &

McGregor 1996, Aikhenvald & Dixon 2013 for discussion) or is a further semantic decomposition of possessed nouns needed to do so?

Possessors

Some possessive constructions impose semantic and syntactic restrictions on the possessor. For example: (i) The Dutch possessive -s suffix can only occur on proper names; (ii) possessors that co-occur with linking morphemes in the Austronesian language Daakaka must be animate (Von Prince 2012). Such restrictions raise the following questions:

- In which module of the grammar do these restrictions arise? Semantics, the lexicon, morphology or syntax? Or are they the result of interplay between these modules?
- What is the range of cross-linguistic variation with respect to these restrictions and how can we account for (the restrictions on) this variation?

In some languages, non-pronominal possessors can be doubled by a possessive pronoun (e.g. Dutch *Jan zijn boek* (Jan his book)):

- What are the morphosyntactic properties of such possessor doubling (see e.g. Grohmann & Haegeman 2003, Corver & Van Koppen 2010, Salzmann & Georgi 2011, Schoorlemmer 2012)?
- How is possessor doubling interpreted by the semantics?

Possession markers

The world's languages display an impressive array of variation with respect to the morphosyntactic means to signal possession (see e.g. Aikhenvald & Dixon 2013; Börjars & Denison 2013; Nichols & Bickel 2005; Dryer 2005). It can be signaled by genitive case, prepositions, dedicated possessive markers, construct state, etc. The relation between this morphosyntactic variation and the semantics of possession remains largely unexplored in the literature.

- Do different markers of possession invoke different semantics (see e.g. Partee & Borschev 2003 for discussion)?
- Does the marker itself introduce a relational semantics or does it merely reflect that another element does so?
- Is there a limit on the morphosyntactic variation in possession marking and how can we account for (the restrictions on) this variation?

Semantic composition, syntactic structure, context and pragmatics

Finally, the role of semantic composition, syntactic structure, context and pragmatics in possession is still poorly understood.

- Which semantic compositional processes play a role in possession?
- What is the syntactic structure of possessive constructions (see e.g. Szabolcsi 1983, Kayne 1994, Den Dikken 1998, Corver 2003, Coene & d'Hulst 2003)? How does this syntactic structure relate to semantic composition?
- Can the syntax and semantics of possession be reduced to that of locative constructions (see e.g. Freeze 1992, Kayne 1993, Belvin & Den Dikken 1997)?
- Is there any competition between possession markers, and if so, are there any meaning effects associated with this competition (see e.g. Le Bruyn & Alexandropoulou 2013 for a recent discussion on French inalienable possession)?

• How much of relational interpretations can be derived from context or pragmatic reasoning (see e.g. Vikner & Jensen 2002 for discussion)?

We invite abstracts for **35 minute talks (25-minute talk plus 10 minutes' discussion)** that enhance our understanding of possession by either directly or indirectly addressing one or more of the above questions. Possible formats include but are not limited to:

- New theoretical insights in the semantics or (morpho)syntax of possession.
- Theoretical (semantic, (morpho)syntactic or pragmatic) explorations of possession that aim to derive (part of) the variation we find cross-linguistically.
- Studies synchronic or diachronic of (part of) a language-specific possession paradigm, both from well-studied and lesser-studied languages, that show us what the relevant semantic or (morpho)syntactic building blocks of possession patterns are.
- Micro- or macro-comparative studies of (parts of) possession paradigms that show us what the relevant semantic or (morpho)syntactic parameters underlying the variation in possession patterns are.
- Studies working out the semantics of previously explored syntactic/ morphological analyses, investigating how syntax/morphology maps to semantics.

The First GLOW Spring School (GSS1) April 7-11

THEORIES IN DIALOGUE

Co-ordinator: Marijke De Belder (CRISSP, Brussels)

Website: <u>http://www.glow37.org/spring-school</u>

The general theme of the inaugural GLOW Spring School (GSS1) is "Theories in Dialogue". The main idea is to approach the same topic from two different theoretical angles, thus creating a dialogue between the two theories. These dialogues will be organized in the form of two consecutive classes – taught by different teachers – each day during an entire week.

The **topics** and **teachers** for GSS1 are as follows:

- <u>Modelling learnability</u> (*computational linguistics*) Antal Van den Bosch (Radboud University Nijmegen) Charles Yang (University of Pennsylvania)
- <u>Islands</u> (*syntax*) Norvin Richards (MIT) Philip Hofmeister (Essex University)
- <u>Pronouns</u> (morphosyntax and semantics) Martina Wiltschko (University of British Columbia) Philippe Schlenker (École Normale Supérieure Paris & NYU)
- <u>Spell-out</u> (morphosyntax) Hagit Borer (Queen Mary, University of London) Pavel Caha (University of Tromsø)

Please see the <u>GSS1 website</u> for further course details and timetables.

Minutes of the GLOW General Assembly (Business Meeting) held in Lund on 4 April 2013, 18:00-19:00

by Jeroen van Craenenbroeck

1. Opening

Sjef Barbiers (GLOW Chairperson) welcomes everyone to the business meeting

2. GLOW 2013 Lund

Halldór Sigurðsson (Congress President 2013) expresses his satisfaction about how GLOW 37 is going, as well as his gratitude to the local funding organisations.

There were 152 submissions for the Main Colloquium, and both the local organizers and the GLOW Board were very pleased with the way the selection procedure was carried out (5 reviewers per abstract, additional reviews from the local organizers and the GLOW Board for the top 61 abstracts). The process was very labour-intensive but delivered good results.

A substantial amount of the budget went on the reimbursement of the Colloquium speakers, which makes GLOW a very expensive conference to organize (which in turn might mean that not every department or university will have the possibility of organizing GLOW). Moreover, the speaker reimbursements also created a great deal of additional administration because of the rather strict requirements and regulations of Lund University.

The local organizers of GLOW 2013 Lund were also wondering if the GLOW Board shouldn't provide more explicit and extensive instructions about how to set up the review process and how to organize the conference. At any rate, the guidelines about how to organize GLOW on the GLOW website should be updated.

3. GLOW 2014 Brussels

Marijke De Belder (co-organizer of GLOW 2014; the Congress President for 2014 is Dany Jaspers) gives a short presentation about GLOW 2014 in Brussels. The Main Colloquium will take place from April 2 to April 4, 2014. There will be no theme and no invited speakers. All the talks will be live streamed and – if the authors give their permission – recorded. The local organizers include Dany Jaspers, Guido Vanden Wyngaerd, Jeroen van Craenenbroeck, Marijke De Belder, Tanja Temmerman, and Koen Roelandt.

There will be two workshops on 5 April 2014:

1. Phonological specification and interface interpretation

Organizers: Bert Botma (Leiden), Andrew Nevins (London), Marc van Oostendorp (Leiden);

Invited speakers: John Harris (London), Bert Vaux (Cambridge), Aditi Lahiri (Berkeley).

2. Understanding Possession

Organizers: Bert Le Bruyn (Utrecht), Eric Schoorlemmer (Leiden); Invited speakers: Chris Barker (NYU), Kilu von Prince (ZAS).

In addition to the Main Colloquium and the workshops, Brussels is also organizing the first ever GLOW Spring School (GSS1). Marijke De Belder (coordinator of GSS1) gives a short presentation about the Spring School:

- it will take place from 7 April to 11 April 2014;

- the theme of GSS1 is "Theories in dialogue", the idea being that two teachers are invited per topic who will approach the topic from opposite viewpoints;
- the topics and teachers are as follows:
 - *Modelling learnability*: Charles Yang (UPenn) and Antal van den Bosch (Radboud University)
 - Islands: Norvin Richards (MIT) and Philip Hofmeister (Essex)
 - *Pronouns*: Martina Wiltschko (U British Columbia) and Philippe Schlenker (ENS, Paris & NYU)
 - Spell-Out: Hagit Borer (Queen Mary, London) and Pavel Caha (CASTL/Tromsø)

The members at the meeting support the idea of a GLOW-branded Spring School and agree that it is an interesting experiment. At the same time, though, they raise questions about the role of phonology, both in the GLOW Conference and in the Spring School. As for the latter, the Chairperson points out that the Board is aware of the delicate position phonology is in, and agrees that it would be a good idea for future spring schools to also offer phonology courses. As for the Colloquium, the suggestion is made to co-opt one or more phonologists among the local organizers in an attempt to be able to attract more phonology submissions.

4. Future GLOWs

Forthcoming venues are as follows:

2015: Paris 8 & ENS (concurrent with OCP)

2016: Seville

2017: three candidates: Budapest, London, Amsterdam/Utrecht

There are as yet no candidates for post-2017, but if all three candidates for 2017 end up actually organizing GLOW (in consecutive years), this would fill the schedule till 2019. Suggestions for possible venues remain welcome, however.

5. Conference proposal Den Dikken/Lohndal

The issue:

Marcel Den Dikken and Terje Lohndal have expressed their plans to organize a roundtable conference (provisional title: "Generative syntax in the 21th century: the road ahead"): "This round-table conference presents a 'reconstitutional' meeting of major minds in generative syntax aimed at producing a white paper that (re)affirms the doctrinal core, works towards creating an analytical lingua franca, and lays down a platform for research in the field in the coming years. (...) The round-table meeting (...) will be a three-day event, chaired by the organisers and open to the public. It will feature a select group of invitees who have been and continue to be central in the field (...) with likely participants including Artemis Alexiadou, Jonathan Bobaljik, Robert Frank, Liliane Haegeman, Caroline Heycock, Howard Lasnik, Joan Maling, James McCloskey, Jason Merchant, Henk van Riemsdijk, Luigi Rizzi, Ian Roberts, Mamoru Saito, and Peter Svenonius."

For this conference, MDD & TJ request funding from GLOW. The total costs of the round-table conference are estimated at EUR 12,000.

The discussion:

The Chairperson introduces the MDD & TL proposal and summarizes the discussion of this proposal at the GLOW Board. While the Board is sympathetic to the general

philosophy and high-level concerns of the proposal, it raises questions concerning feasibility, best approach, location, communication, audience, possible role of phonology/semantics, etc. It proposes that the Chairperson report back to MDD & TL, making clear that while the Board is in principle in favour of the proposal, it wants to discuss further to see to what extent the original plan can be modified before it can confirm its financial support. The Chairperson asks permission from the Business Meeting to proceed as suggested by the Board.

The proposal leads to extensive discussion in the Business Meeting, with different members taking – sometimes very – different positions. There is a fairly general consensus that generative grammar is currently under attack, both from neighbouring fields and from within different linguistic frameworks. There is much less agreement, however, on how to respond to this criticism, with positions ranging from continuing to do high-quality research and not directly engaging the opposing views to the organization of a specific conference or meeting – in the spirit of the MDD & TL proposal – designed to directly confront the criticism. Just like the Board, several members of the Business Meeting express concerns and questions about the tone of such a meeting (defensive vs. starting from one's own strengths), about its visibility, and also about whether or not GLOW should want to assign its label to such an event.

The decision:

The Business Meeting agrees that generative grammar faces a number of challenges today and that this is an issue GLOW might want to take some responsibility in. With this as background and taking into account the various opinions raised during the Business Meeting, the Chairperson will further discuss the MDD & TL proposal with Marcel and Terje and on the basis of this discussion will decide whether GLOW will want to invest money in the MDD & TL conference.

6. GLOW Asia

The Chairperson sketches the dire situation GLOW Asia is in as well as the extended efforts Pritty Patel has invested into reviving GLOW Asia in a more formal and organized way than before. This includes a website, a GLOW board, memberships, regular conferences, newsletter etc. Moreover, she would like for GLOW Europe and GLOW Asia to work more closely in tandem and is asking for support and advice in setting up the relevant structures in Asia. As the GLOW Board is very much in favour of these plans, it proposes to co-opt Pritty Patel as GLOW Asia Liaison Officer. The General Assembly agrees.

As GLOW Asia Liason Officer, Pritty will report regularly to the GLOW Board about her progress. She's hoping to get the key people in place and the website up and running by the end of 2013 and to organize a one-off workshop intended to bring East Asian and South Asian linguist(ic)s closer together in 2014.

The GLOW Board is delighted by these developments and strongly supports Pritty's efforts.

7. Changes to the GLOW Board

The Congress President for 2014 is Dany Jaspers. The Chairperson, Secretary and Treasurer are up for re-election and there are no other candidates. Member B (Lida Veselovska) has served for two terms and hence will step down. There is one candidate for this position, Mojmír Dočekal. Member C (Viola Schmitt) has served for two terms and hence will also step down. There is one candidate for this position, Sarah Zobel. The new Website Manager is Alexis Dimitriadis.

The Chairperson thanks Lida Veselovska and Pavel Iosad for their excellent service to the Board.

The Board proposes to co-opt Tobias Scheer as Member For Phonology for another term and Pritty Patel as GLOW Asia Liaison Officer.

The General Assembly agrees with the proposed changes. The current composition of the GLOW Board is as follows:

The complete GLOW Board for 2013-2014		
Congress President	Dany Jaspers	2013-2014
Chairperson	Sjef Barbiers	2013-2015
Secretary	Jeroen van Craenenbroeck	2013-2015
Treasurer	Maaike Schoorlemmer	2013-2015
Newsletter Editor	Marc Richards	2012-2014
Journal Editor	Harry van der Hulst	
Website Manager	Alexis Dimitriadis	2013-2015
Member A	Roberta D'Alessandro	2012-2014
Member B	Mojmír Dočekal	2013-2015
Member C	Sarah Zobel	2013-2015
Member D	Maria Rosa Lloret	2012-2014
Advisory Member 1	Henk van Riemsdijk	
Advisory Member 2	Martin Everaert	
Co-opted member	Tobias Scheer	2013-2015
(Phonology)		
Co-opted member	Pritty Patel	2013-2015
(GLOW Asia)		

8. Treasurer's Report 2012

The Chairperson discusses the 2012 Treasurer's Report. For 2013, GLOW has EUR 22,585.25 which it can freely spend. Last year's expenses were higher than the revenues. On the other hand, the reservations for long-term memberships could be lowered given that there are no longer any publication costs for the GLOW Newsletter.

The Board wants to use the considerable amount of money that is available to it to fund or support a number of schools, as it believes that training is one of the key areas where GLOW can make a difference.

The Treasurer's Report will be sent to Marjo van Koppen for approval.

9. **Requests for financial support**

GLOW has received three funding requests from summer schools: from EGG, LISSIM and ALS. The GLOW Board proposes to support these schools to the amount of \notin 1000 each for this year.

The General Assembly discusses the amounts given to the various summer schools. Some members feel GLOW funding should be higher. The Chairperson makes clear that the funding happens on an *ad hoc* basis, that structural support from GLOW to these schools is not possible and that the priority of the Board lies with the GLOW Spring School.

The General Assembly also discusses the issue of GLOW memberships and makes a couple of suggestions on how membership numbers could be increased.

After this discussion, the General Assembly supports the GLOW Board's funding decisions, though not unanimously.

10. The Linguistic Review

The TLR special issue based on GLOW Wrocław 2010 has appeared in 2012. There will be no special issues from Vienna or Potsdam. The organizers of GLOW Lund are encouraged to start the selection process of papers to be submitted to the TLR special issue right after GLOW. Since it turns out to be difficult for GLOW organizers to do this selection process on their own, the GLOW Board will also be involved. Only the six best papers can be selected for TLR.

11. Further issues

None.

GLOW Treasurer's Report 2012

by Maaike Schoorlemmer, 15/25 March 2013

Revenues (in €)	
Membership dues	841.83
Donations/membership dues	685.33
Interest	259.45
Total Revenues	1786.61

Expenses (in €)	
Chamber of commerce	24.08
Bank costs	145.59
Contribution LISSIM 2011	1000
Contribution EGG/LISSIM 2012 (1500 each)	3000
Total Expenses	4169.67

Result 2012:

1786.61 - 4169.67= **- 2383.06**

Balance (in €)

Balance December 31, 2011	73,278.31
Result 2012	- 2383.06
Balance December 31, 2012	70,895.25
Unaccounted for	0

Reservations And Dues (in €)

Reservation in case of liquidation (legally required)		1500
Reservation for calamities		25,000
Reservation due to long-term memberships:		12,810
Multi-year members (€30 per year / member until 2022):	2010	
Lifetime members (€30 until 2030):	10800	
Debt to UiL OTS printing Newsletter 2007+2009 +2010		9000
Total reservation:		48,310

Freely available in 2013: €70,895.25 - €48,310 = €22,585.25