Addresses:

GLOW Newsletter	GLOW Bureau
David Adger/Peter Svenonius	Utrecht Institute of Linguistics OTS
Linguistics	Utrecht University
Queen Mary, University of London	Trans 10
London E1 4NS	NL 3512 JK Utrecht
United Kingdom	The Netherlands
d.j.adger@qmul.ac.uk	Phone + 31 30 253 6006
peter.svenonius@hum.uit.no	Fax + 31 30 253 6000

http://glow.uvt.nl

Contents

A Note from the Editors

Dear GLOW Members,

This is a period of transition as we get ready to move the GLOW Bureau from Tilburg to Utrecht. Please bear with us as there are sure to be some snafus before we get all settled in to our new home.

This is also the last time we'll be posting the Fall newsletter in print format, although the Spring newsletter will still continue to be printed as normal. From now on we'll use electronic format for the Fall newsletter and send it to all GLOW members as a pdf file.

On the meeting front, our next stop is Casa Convalescència, Barcelona!

The 29th GLOW Colloqium will be held at the *Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona* from Thursday, April 6 through Saturday, April 8, 2005. The topic for the colloquium is free, meaning there is no restriction. Preceding the main Colloquium, there will be four workshops on April 5. The calls for the main session and for the workshops constitute the main content of this newsletter. There is already a very informative web site up and running at http://seneca.uab.es/ggt/glow2006 – check it out (we recommend you use Explorer as a browser, some of the information does not appear when Safari is used).

The deadline for this year is NOVEMBER 1st (like last year).

Last year we had some trouble getting camera-ready copies of accepted abstracts for people. A camera-ready abstract (in these days where everybody uses a word processor) is basically just like an anonymous abstract except it is onymous, that is, it has a name on it; usually also an affiliation and often an e-mail address. This year we would like to speed up the production of the Spring newsletter by having everyone submit the camera-ready copy at the same time as the anonymous copy for review. This means if you are submitting an abstract, you will send **two** copies: one with a name and affiliation (optionally also e-mail address) on the line or lines under the title of the abstract, and one without. It's as simple as that.

We reiterate here the rules for abstracts for the colloquium:

> "Abstracts may not exceed two pages of text with at least a one-inch margin on all four sides (measured on A4 paper) and must employ a font not smaller than 12 point. Each page may include a maximum of 50 lines of text. Abstracts may include an extra page for references (not examples), but this third page will not be published in the Spring newsletter."

The 50-line limit includes examples. It is there to prevent people from using tricks like changing the line height in order to cram more ink onto the page.

Abstracts (both the anonymous one and the onymous one) should be sent as attached

pdf files (the name(s) should be clearly mentioned in the email) to cg.glow2006@uab.es. Only electronic submissions which conform to the guidelines stated here will be considered.

Submitters whose computers are not envisioning A4 paper should adjust their margin sizes in order to achieve a text box similar to that on A4 with 1" margins (e.g. those using the American $8^1/_2$" x 11" size should use wider left and right margins (1.13" or 2.85 cm), and may use smaller top and bottom margins (0.6" or 1.5 cm)). This is especially important for the printing of the spring newsletter.

As you will see from the minutes, a number of GLOW Board positions are coming up for renewal or replenishment (see especially p. 13). If you wish to nominate someone for a position, please notify the Secretary, Uli Sauerland (uli@alum.mit.edu), by the first of February 2006. Nominations after that date cannot be included in the Spring Newsletter.

Remember that registration for a GLOW colloquium requires membership of GLOW. People attending the colloquium who are not members of GLOW will be required to join.

The deadlines for the colloquium are: Abstracts: November 1st, 2005
                                         Glow Dues: January 1st, 2006
                                         Board Nominations: February 1st, 2006

— David Adger and Peter Svenonius

GLOW Renewal Notice

Renewal is for the calendar year 2006, taking effect with the Spring issue of the GLOW newsletter. Payment should reach us by January 1, 2006. GLOW is continuing to offer four-year student memberships for €30. This is an incredibly good deal, so please encourage eligible people to take advantage of it.

Membership dues

The current membership dues, as agreed at the Amsterdam General Assembly, are:

Student/Unemployed:	€ 11.50
Student (4 year)	€ 30 (may only be chosen once in a lifetime)
Regular (1 year)	€ 25
Regular (5 year)	€ 110
Regular (10 year)	€ 200
Regular (life)	€ 400

Modes of Payment:

• By Credit Card (Eurocard/Mastercard/Access/CarteBancaire/Visa);

• By remittance to
  - Dutch Postal Account #91.44.68;
  - Bank Account #43.97.10.340, ABN-AMRO Bank, Tilburg/NL

Whichever mode of payment you choose, please mail the enclosed membership form to the GLOW bureau at the new address in Utrecht (address at the start of the newsletter).

Members from the former socialist countries of central and eastern Europe, including the former Soviet Union Republics, can apply for a waiver of the dues. If you want to make use of this option, please write to the chair of the GLOW Board (e-mail: artemis@ifla.uni-stuttgart.de), but do send the Membership Form to the GLOW Bureau anyway.

N.B.: If you wish to benefit from the GLOW membership discount for GLOW's official journal, *The Linguistic Review*, and/or for the books from the *Studies in Generative Grammar* and *Linguistics Models* series published by Mouton de Gruyter, please follow the instructions on the order form, which changed two years ago (you now send the order directly to the publisher, not to GLOW).

The 29th GLOW Colloquium 2006

Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona

Barcelona, April 6-8 (Workshops April 5)

Invited speakers: Richard S. Kayne (NYU); John McCarthy (UMass, Amherst)

Theme of the Colloquium: Free

✴Abstracts are invited on any topic in generative grammar (phonology, morphology, syntax, or semantics).

✴Abstracts should be sent **in two copies, one with name and one without** as attached files (the name(s) should also be clearly mentioned in the e-mail) to:

cg.glow2006@uab.es

in pdf format.

Only electronic submissions will be considered. Deadline for submissions: **November 1, 2005.**

Abstracts may not exceed two pages of text with at least a one-inch margin on all four sides (measured on A4 paper) and must employ a font not smaller than 12 point. Each page may include a maximum of 50 lines of text. Abstracts may include an extra page for references (not examples), but this third page will not be published in the Spring newsletter.

Speakers will be partially reimbursed for their expenses.

For further information visit our website http://seneca.uab.es/ggt/glow2006 or write to cg.glow2006@uab.es. Note that these sites are best viewed through Explorer. Certain information may not appear with other browsers (there are known bugs with Safari, for example).

WORKSHOP 1:

Adjuncts/Modifiers

April 5th, 2005

Invited speaker: Juan Uriagereka (University of Maryland)

Organisers: M. Teresa Espinal (UAB) & Jaume Mateu (UAB-UOC)

The status of the argument-adjunct distinction is not as clear as one would expect given the latest developments in the theory of grammar, not only within the minimalist program, but also from other theoretical perspectives. In addition, the correspondence between syntactic adjuncts and semantic modifiers has not been provided yet with a clear-cut analysis.

Addressed to both syntacticians and semanticists, this workshop aims to provoke discussion on how adjuncts are licensed at the syntax-semantics interface and how they are interpreted.

This topic covers various subtopics: what sort of linguistic object an adjunct is, the argument-adjunct distinction, the derivation of adjuncts and the conditions of adjunct placement, the adjunct-disjunct (parenthetical) distinction, the status of adjuncts with regard to argument structure, the syntactic and semantic features that determine the distribution of adverbs and their licensing as different types of adverbial modifiers, adverbial modifiers as event quantifiers and as event predicates, the existence of obligatory adjuncts, etc.

There will be 8 slots for presentations, which will be 30 minutes, followed by 10 minutes for discussion. Abstracts should be one page long, with references (not data) on a second page, if necessary; do not use a font smaller than 12 point. Two copies of the abstract should be sent as attached PDF files: one should be **anonymous** (the name(s) and the title of the abstract should be clearly mentioned in the e-mail) and the other should have the authors' Name(s), Affilliation(s) and email(s). Please write the (first) author's name plus the word 'abstract' in the subject line of the message (e.g. 'Smith abstract').

Abstracts must be sent to cg.adjuncts@uab.es

Deadline for submission of abstracts: **November 1st, 2005**

Approaches to Phonological Opacity

April 5th, 2005

Commentator: Ricardo Bermúdez-Otero (U. of Newcastle upon Tyne)

Workshop organisers: Eulàlia Bonet (UAB) and Maria-Rosa Lloret (U. of Barcelona)

Over the years, opacity has been a major theme in phonological research, but, despite the attention received, the issue is far from being settled. Opacity effects refer either to surface forms that have undergone a process although they do not match the criteria for its application at the surface level ('overapplication'), or to surface forms that fail to undergo a process although their shapes match the criteria for it to apply ('underapplication').

Opacity is predicted by any theory allowing intermediate levels of analysis, that is, serial theories: overapplication instances are cases of application of a process at a non-surface level with the context for its application being wiped out by a later process. Underapplication instances, instead, are cases of non-application at the surface level that are controlled by a non-surface level. Opacity, thus, constitutes an area where serial approaches to phonology excel. Contrariwise, opacity effects are the main challenge for parallel approaches to phonology and its resolution often entails the introduction of otherwise unneeded devices. The interest on opacity has nowadays revived because it questions the basic architecture of Optimality Theory, which is generally assumed to be global and parallel.

In serial modular theories (such as Lexical Phonology) the opacity issue raises interesting questions concerning the finding of independent motivation for strata and the way of restricting the number of strata universally. In parallel approaches, the most important issue raised by opacity —if it is solved— is the theoretical cost of the devices introduced to account for it. Within Optimality Theory, it further remains to be investigated if a serial approach to Optimality Theory (such as OT-LP) is a more restricted way of capturing opacity than its alternatives (e.g., Sympathy Theory, Output-to-Output Correspondence, Local Conjunction, Comparative Markedness, Candidate Chains). The implications of both approaches to learnability and language typology also remain to be seen. A further issue to be discussed is whether cases of opacity really exist within one and the same level (or stratum), and whether they can be dealt with under the auspices of either of the two competing architectures or they call for a rule-based approach to phonology. Finally, it has been shown that some alleged cases of opacity were not really such when the data were reexamined. Since theories vary in the range of opacity effects they predict, it is important to have opacity phenomena well supported empirically.

There will be 8 slots for presentations, which will be 30 minutes, followed by 10 minutes for discussion. Abstracts should be one page long, with references (not data) on a second page, if necessary; do not use a font smaller than 12 point. Two copies of the abstract should be sent as attached PDF files: one should be **anonymous** (the name(s) and the title of the abstract should be clearly mentioned in the e-mail) and the other should have the authors' Name(s), Affilliation(s) and email(s).

Abstracts must be sent to cg.opacity@uab.es.

Deadline for submission of abstracts: **November 1st, 2005**

Write to the same e-mail address if you have any queries about the workshop.

WORKSHOP 3:

Prosodic Phrasing

April 5th, 2005

Invited speaker: ELISABETH SELKIRK (UMASS, Amherst)

Workshop organisers: Pilar Prieto (ICREA-UAB, Barcelona) and Sónia Frota (University of Lisbon)

Generative approaches to the syntax-phonology mapping have emphasized the correspondence between grammatical boundaries and prosodic ones, and the role of syntax on the prediction of prosodic phrase boundaries across languages. Constraints such as edge alignment to syntactic constituents or heads (Selkirk 1986, 1995, 2000, Nespor & Vogel 1986), non-branching of constituents in p-restructuring (Nespor & Vogel 1986) and the demand that each maximal projection (XP) be contained in a phonological phrase (Truckenbrodt 1995, 1999) have been extensively used. Yet, in some recent work it is becoming clear that these three basic syntactic conditions (Align/Branching/Wrap constraints) are not sufficient and that prosodic constraints might play an important role on phrasing decisions (Ghini 1993, Sandalo & Truckenbrodt 2003, Selkirk 2000, 2005, Jun 2003, Elordieta et al in press, Prieto in press). One of the aims of this workshop will be to assess the relevance of syntactic and prosodic constraints (and their interaction) in predicting prosodic phrase location across languages.

This workshop aims to discuss issues on the interaction of prosody, syntax, and information structure, from an interdisciplinary point of view. With this goal in mind, we welcome researchers interested in any area of prosodic phrasing, especially the relationship between prosody, syntax, and information structure. We also hope to extend the discussion to other related phenomena such as prosody and processing (production and perception of prosodic phrasing) and the phonetic modelling of phrasing levels (phonetic cues to different levels of prosodic breaks).

Topics of Discussion

The Relationship Between Prosody and Syntax

The Relationship Between Prosody and Information Structure

Prosody and Processing (Production, Perception, Implicit Prosody)

Prosodic Modelling of Phrasing

Phonetic Cues to Different Levels of Prosodic Phrasing

We welcome the submission of abstracts for 30 minute presentations on any area of prosodic phrasing. Abstracts should be one page long, with references (not data) on a second page, if necessary; do not use a font smaller than 12 point. Two copies of the abstract should be sent as attached PDF files: one should be **anonymous** (the name(s) and the title of the abstract should be clearly mentioned in the e-mail) and the other should have the authors' Name(s), Affilliation(s) and email(s).

Abstracts must be sent to **cg.phrasing@uab.es**.

Deadline for submission of abstracts: **November 1st, 2005**

Write to the same e-mail address if you have any queries about the workshop.

WORKSHOP 4:

Acquisition of the Syntax and Semantics of Number Marking

April 5th, 2005

Invited speaker: CARSON SCHÜTZE (UCLA)

Workshop organisers: Anna Gavarró (UAB) & Maria Teresa Guasti (U. Milano-Bicocca)

In recent years a clearer picture of language acquisition has been emerging: while some parameterised features of grammar are fixed early on (for example, those granting word order), other phenomena constitute systematic departures of child grammar from adult grammar (resulting, for example, in periods of apparent optionality).

In this workshop we will consider the expression of number. The values that the number feature may take is a source of variation across languages and number features materialise in structurally diverse configurations. Number may be involved in agreement between subject and verb, agreement between object and verb, number

contrasts of clitic pronouns, agreement between Ns and As within NP, agreement between articles and Ns within DP, number contrasts of determiners (in languages without articles), and expression of quantificational determiners (e.g. *Three dogs are barking*). Recent accounts of the latter suggest that children distinguish between numbers and other quantificational determiners and that numbers elicit some kind of interpretation more easily than other quantificational determiners; in this respect it is interesting that certain languages do not have a full range of number words. In general, while the realisation and distribution of number varies cross-linguistically, it is a quite robust dimension of many languages, including creoles. If a number feature is universally available to the child, we may ask as to the way in which it appears in any given language.

In this workshop we set out to investigate if the development of number(s) is homogeneous across child languages or not, and, if it is not, which are the factors determining the variation: phonological factors, e.g. related to the possibly affixal character of number, syntactic-semantic factors, e.g. related to the (un)interpretable character of the feature), or factors strictly related to the computational system, e.g. whereby the maturation of certain principles may bring with them delay in the emergence of a feature. We aim also at exploring how children learn number words, amongst other quantification expressions, and their interpretative properties.

There will be 8 slots for presentations, which will be 30 minutes, followed by 10 minutes for discussion. Abstracts should be one page long, 12 point, with references (not data) on a second page, if necessary. Two copies of the abstract should be sent as attached PDF files: one should be **anonymous** (the name(s) and the title of the abstract should be clearly mentioned in the e-mail) and the other should have the authors' Name(s), Affilliation(s) and email(s).

Abstracts must be sent to cg.acquisition@uab.es.

Deadline for submission of abstracts: **November 1st, 2005**.

Minutes of the GLOW General Assembly held in Geneva on April 1st, 2005

by Uli Sauerland (with addenda by the Board)

1. Changes to the Board

The main topic of the general assembly were changes to the board and reports from individual board members. The new board is:

The complete **GLOW** Board for 2005-2006

Congress President	Joan Mascaró	2005-2006
Chairperson	Artemis Alexiadou	2005-2007
Secretary	Uli Sauerland	2005-2007
Treasurer	Hans Broekhuis	2005-2007
Newsletter Editors	David Adger	2004-2006
	Peter Svenonius	
Journal Editor	Harry van der Hulst	
Website Manager	Craig Thiersch	2004-2006
Member A	Anna Cardinaletti	2004-2006
Member B	Bozena Rozwadowska	2005-2007
Member C	Viola Schmitt	2005-2007
Member D	Ricardo Bermúdez-Otero	2004-2006
Advisory member	Henk van Riemsdijk	
Coopted member	Marc van Oostendorp	2005-2007

The positions indicated as starting in 2005 were all elected by the general assembly. The position of secretary became vacant after Artemis Alexiadou was elected as the chairperson, and Uli Sauerland was elected as secretary.

The board would like to thank Ur Shlonsky for serving as Congress president 2005-2006, Anders Holmberg for serving as Chairperson for four years (2001-2005), Artemis Alexiadou for serving as Secretary for three years (2002-2005), and Eric Fuss for serving as member C for four years (2001-2005).

At the Barcelona meeting in 2006, the following offices will be up for election: newsletter editors, website manager, member A and member D. The people currently occupying those positions have signalled their willingness to continue to serve, but the Board warmly welcomes nominations for these positions. If you'd like to be involved, please contact Uli Sauerland (uli@alum.mit.edu).

2. Future Venues of the GLOW Colloquium

The venues for GLOW meetings are the following so far. If you are interested in hosting a GLOW meeting in the future please contact the board.

2006 Barcelona

2007 Tromsø
2008 Newcastle
2009 Nantes
2010 interest expressed from Poland

3. Barcelona Meeting

Joan Mascaro presented informantion concerning the 2006 meeting and workshops in Barcelona, most of which appears in the first pages of this newsletter. "Free Topic," which was proposed by the local organisers, was accepted as the topic for the 29th colloquium, for the third year in a row.

4. Abstract Review

Ur Shlonsky reported on the abstract review process, which was summarized in the spring newsletter. It was pointed out that the November 1st deadline was important in allowing sufficient time to use outside reviewers.

5. Moving the GLOW Bureau

The Glow Bureau is moving from Tilburg to Utrecht University. The move should become effective in June. Martin Everaert is the person GLOW is in contact with at Utrecht.

6. Website

The Board is undertaking major changes and improvements of the website. Please e-mail suggestions to Craig Thiersch (C.L.Thiersch@uvt.nl).

7. Newsletter

David Adger and Peter Svenonius pointed out some changes in the newsletter format (e.g. omission of the index, page headers, and page numbers) and requested feedback on them; this year's format saved the editors time, but the question is whether that came at the expense of functionality. From Fall 2006 on, the Fall newsletter will be delivered electronically only to save expenses. The Spring newsletter and meeting handbook will continue to be produced in print.

8. Treasurer's Report

Hans Broekhuis presents the Treasurer's Report, which was audited by Jan-Wouter Zwart. The report is contained in this newsletter.

9. Summer Schools

EGG summerschool, July 25 to August 5, 2005 at Wroclaw
Local organizer: Bozena Rozwadowska

Glow summerschool (in conjunction with DGFS), August 14 to September 9, 2006
Local organizer: Artemis Alexiadou
Topic: Micro- and Macrovariation

10. LingBuzz

The LingBuzz site (http://ling.auf.net) is an open electronic repository for papers related to generative linguistics, with prepublished and unpublished pdf articles, html abstracts, searchable keywords, and space for blogs, among other things. You are highly encouraged to upload your articles, old and new, to make them publicly accessible.

Michal Starke solicits submissions and feedback on technical features (e.g. the list of top down-loaded papers).

11. Speaker Reimbursement

The board strongly recommends that future organizers provide speaker reimbursement. The speaker reimbursement adds to the prestige of GLOW and furthermore some presenters could not easily afford to attend the meeting without the reimbursement.

The Geneva (2005) organizers were unable to obtain funding for speaker reimbursement. The Barcelona (2006) and Tromsø (2007) organizers will provide speaker reimbursement.

Treasurer's Report 2004 (dated July 2005)

by Hans Broekhuis

Revenues 2004	
Membership dues	10.629,52
Teun Hoekstra Fund	330,00
Interest	1.086,45
Total Revenues	**12.045,97**

Expenses 2004	
Chamber of commerce	33,40
Costs transfer for payments and additional costs paid to the bank	38,64
University of Tilburg (newsletter, postage and xerox)	6.034,33
Contribution to GLEE	2.500,00
Total Costs	**8606,37**

Balance December 31, 2003	46.688,76
Total Revenues - Total Costs	3,439,60
Unaccounted for	0,00
Balance December 31, 2004	**50.128,36**

Reservations: € 49.327,77

Reservation in case of liquidation (legally required)		**1.500,00**
Reservation for calamities:		**25.000,00**
Reservation due to long-term memberships:		
Until 2007:	440,00	
Until 2008:	3.520,00	
Until 2009:	3.490,00	
Until 2010	220,00	
Until 2013:	1.200,00	
lifetime:	4.800,00	
Total:		**13.670,00**
Teun Hoekstra Fund:		**1.657,77**
GLEE 2005*		**2.500,00**
GLOW summer school 2006		**5.000,00**

Freely available: € 800,59**

*) GLEE will also be supported by GLOW in 2006 and 2007
**) € 405,00 is still to be transmitted to the Teun Hoekstra Fund